Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070107-4.

OGC Has Reviewed

Chief, pervices Division

31 May 1950

logal staff

25X1A

25X1A

1. Following our recent telephone conversation, I have again reviewed the file in t is case and the proposed letter to required in the contract and those actually charged.

maximum of \$200.00 per menth. Fiscal broke this down to an hourly rate of \$1.10, a mputed in accordance with the description in your letter of 14 earch 1950 to _______ In a letter dated 27 March 1950, tale acknowledged the \$1.15 rate and specifically agreed to make their accounting practices conform. ______ has now discovered that they have incurred excessive charges in the amount of \$200.00, apparently as the result of salary payments computed at \$1.25 per hour rather than \$1.15, although the maximum \$200.00 monthly has not been exceeded. Invoices were returned to ______ for correction of the accorded number of accorded, and no sayment has you been made.

in reaching an agreement on the compansation to be allowed, the contract is explicit on its face and no find it difficult to avoid the wording of article 2 a., specifying \$1.15 per hour. If it is possible to supplement the file with additional proof that neither of the parties intended the \$1.15 rate to apply except as a fiscal machanic in reaching a \$200.00 maximum, the contract could conceivable be submitted to the pential Accounting Office for referration. However, we usual like to point out that we feel it would be difficult. If not impossible, to develop such proof. The contract is clear and its terms were accepted by the pat only through except tion of the agreement itself, but also in the subsequent letter of there. There agreement itself, but also in the subsequent letter of

the bevernment has a closing obligation running in its favor here, and it the Comptroller denoral pointed out in his opinion of 20 temp. John 415, page 400: "It is a long established rule that aports and efficers of the Covernment have no authority to give away the many or property of the United States, to waive contractual rights with have accrued to the United States, or modify existing contracts althout a compensating benefit to the Government, and nothing a pears here to justify or authorize a departure from that rule." In that particular case advanced labor rates under a lump-sum contract were of sallowed. Here, there is a binding obligation on the Contractor, without forther consideration running to the Government, there would

not appear to be grounds for relief in the absence of clear error or misumderatanding. In any event, the proper person to make the determination would not be the Contracting Officer, but the General accounting Office, as the Comptroller pointed out in his opinion of 16 Comp. Gen. 238 in a case where reformation was sought or the basis of a mitual mistake. To quote: " Admin strative officers of the Government are without authority to reform confracts under which the United States has obtained wested rights as in the instant case. Reformation of contracts is a judicial, and not an administrative function, and may be effected only when the established facts fully justify such action. * With respect to obligations of, and those in Tavor of, the United States, however, the jurisdiction being in the accounting officers of the Government to make final settlement, the procedure her long tern and operates to save the cost and delay of litigation, on submission to thom of the facts fully justifying, to authorize ad astments having a like effect." The file, in its present condition, does not warrant submission to the GAO.

b. As we have pointed out verbally, any hardship on the Gontractor or his employees can still be avoided. Since the Contractor has indicated that the actual number of hours worked was not properly computed, and since there is no limitation to a 40-hour workweek, the invoices cools no revised to reflect the actual number of hours worked at a \$1.15 rate. Subject to the \$200.00 monthly limit, we precume this weak absorb the expense which could not be allowed at \$1.25 per hour on a 40-hour week.

25X1A

25X1A

cc: Subject Chrono Legal Decisions